

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/826,706	KNOPP ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
F. L. Evans	2877	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) F. L. Evans (primary examiner). (3) _____

(2) Margaret M. Slezak (applicant's representative). (4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 April 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

18 and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to (1) cancel claim 22 because claims 18 and 22 are substantial duplicates and (2) change "side" to --wall-- in lines 3 and 4 of claim 18 for consistency of claim terminology. See the accompanying Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)